



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,327	01/28/2004	Guerino G. Sacripante	118410	9875
27074 7590 07/21/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850				
EXAMINER FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P				
ART UNIT 1792		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 07/21/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27074@oliff.com
jarnstrong@oliff.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/765,327

Applicant(s)

SACRIPANTE ET AL.

Examiner

William P. Fletcher III

Art Unit

1792

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William Phillip Fletcher III (Primary Examiner).

(3) _____.

(2) Benjamin S. Prebyl (Req. No. 60,256).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7/16/08.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed previous amendments to the claims and applied references. Specifically, the claimed GSD, disclosed by Sacripante, would not allow for the desired stability of the fluidized bed discussed by Nagel (col. 6). Additionally, it was discussed that prior art processes disclosed in the instant specification do not permit the claimed GSD.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/William Phillip Fletcher III/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required